WHAT MAKES HUMANS DIFFERENT?

DR CATHERINE SEED
Aims of this Seminar Series

• To develop critical thinking and academic skills
• To experience undergraduate-level learning styles
• To develop communication and collaboration skills
• To provide subject specific content that goes beyond your A Level Syllabus and introduces new terminology

Today we will cover

• What features make us ‘human’?
• How we test ideas in biology (i.e. designing experiments)
Anthropology (Human Sciences)

The study of humans, both past and present.

Primatology, Human Evolution, Medicine, Genetics, Archaeology, Psychology, Ethnography.
What features/behaviours do humans have that make us ‘different’ to other species?
What features/behaviours do humans have that make us different to other species?

• Big Brains/Intelligence
• Bipedalism
• Language and Speech
• Culture and Social Complexity

Many of these traits occur in some degree in other living things. How can we explore what happened in human evolution?
Cataloguing Nature

By cataloguing and comparing the traits of humans to other animals, scientists can ask:

1. What traits do we have?
2. Whether the ways in which we seem different are actually different from other animals.
3. When those differences may have evolved.
4. Why they arose.

One method to do this is called Cladistics.
Cladistics

1. Cladistics is a method of biological classification that produces hypotheses about the relationship of species.

2. Cladistics enables us to hypothesise relationships between species based on their shared and derived characteristics.

3. Can work with data based on genetics, physiology or behaviour.

4. Results in the production of a tree
Below are two common styles of drawing cladograms (Dees and Momsen, 2016).
Cladistics (page 7 of handbook)

1. Scientists choose the species whose relationships they want to explore.
2. Determine the presence or absence of each feature in each species.
3. Group animals by the features not present in the ancestral population.
4. Use Parsimony.
5. Build a cladogram.
When considering cladograms

- No traits are more ‘primitive’ than others
- The species you choose can influence the resulting order
- We must be aware of possible convergent evolution

Figure 2. Cladistic tree based on presence of traits. Source: http://evolution.berkeley.edu
Mammals
5 finger-like bones
4 types of teeth, hair etc.

Primates
Stereoscopic vision,
Grasping hands and feet,
reduction of claws,
increased brain size,
small families.

Lemur
Apes
Flattened ribcage
Mobile shoulders
lack of a tail
Increased brain size

Chimpanzee
Larger brains
Adaptations for walking on two feet,
Small flat face
Chin
Narrow chest
Reduced canines

Bat
Considering humans in context

1. Comparisons to other species enable us to view the traits across groups of living things.

2. We must be wary of anthropocentrism
   1. **ANTHROPOCENTRISM**
      - regarding humankind as the central or most important element of existence, especially as opposed to God or animals. (OED)
## Designing experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question explored</th>
<th>What was measured</th>
<th>Groups studied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When did bipedalism evolve in human ancestors?</td>
<td>Types of locomotion observed, and proportion of time spent moving in each type.</td>
<td>Primate species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is bipedalism in humans best adapted for walking or running?</td>
<td>Metabolic costs of load carrying vs not carrying.</td>
<td>Human volunteers, animal tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was bipedalism beneficial as it allowed freeing of the hands to carry?</td>
<td>Energy exerted walking/running at different speeds. Gait analysis.</td>
<td>Fossils (Paleoanthropology)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What did bipedalism evolve from?</td>
<td>Combinations of traits associated with bipedalism in dated fossils</td>
<td>Human volunteers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Designing experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question explored</th>
<th>What was measured</th>
<th>Groups studied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When did bipedalism evolve in human ancestors?</td>
<td>Combinations of traits associated with bipedalism in dated fossils.</td>
<td>Fossils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was bipedalism selected for to allow freeing of the hands to carry things?</td>
<td>Metabolic costs of load carrying vs not carrying.</td>
<td>Human volunteers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What did bipedalism evolve from?</td>
<td>Types of locomotion observed, and proportion of time spent moving in each type.</td>
<td>Primate species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Designing experiments

Question: Are humans the only species that can use symbols to communicate meaning?

What data could you collect? What species would you study? How would you design a study?

Title of experiment- The effect of A (Independent Variable) on B (Dependent Variable).
Hypothesis- If A changes (how it will change), then B will (prediction).
Levels of A –How A will be separated into different groups to test its effect.
Measurement of B- How will it be measured. What/who will be measured.
Other variables you will control or measure.
BRAINS AND BIPEDALISM
Why might be brain be useful in explaining human differences?
The Human Brain

Figure 1. A series of mammalian brains. Humans do not have the largest brain in absolute terms and are exceeded in size by many cetaceans (whales, dolphins, porpoises) and the elephants. They also do not have the most convoluted cortex. With a few exceptions, convolution of the cortex increases in proportion to cortical size.

## How big is the human brain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Brain Mass (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour Porpoise</td>
<td>1735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proboscis bat</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short beaked common dolphin</td>
<td>797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humpback Whale</td>
<td>6100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walrus</td>
<td>1410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Bear</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Boddy et al., (2012)
Is brain size everything?

WHY is our brain bigger than expected for our size?

- Observation 1: Primates (Monkeys and Apes) generally have larger brains than expected.
- Observation 2: Compared to other mammals, primates tend to be social and live in groups.
- Hypothesis by Robin Dunbar: Larger brains are associated with managing complex social lives.
- Test: measure brain size and the size of a usual social group in primates.
- Results: Specific parts of the brain (Neocortex) correlates to social group size.
- Called the ‘Social Brain Hypothesis’
Living in groups

Figure 1. Group size plotted against neocortex ratio for nonhuman primates (redrawn from Dunbar 1992a).

Building a picture of change

Figure 2: Anatomical comparisons of apes, early hominins, *Australopithecus*, *Homo erectus*, and humans. © 2012 Nature Education
Bipedalism

Original figures by Fidelis T Masao Elgidius B Ichumbaki Marco Cherin Angelo Barili Giovanni Boschian Dawid A Iurino Sofia Menconero Jacopo Moggi-Cecchi Giorgio Manzi cropping/editing by Dennis Pietras
Laetoli Footprints Preserve Earliest Direct Evidence of Human-Like Bipedal Biomechanics.

PLoS ONE 5(3): e9769. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009769.g001

Homework – Inter-membral Index
BEHAVIOURAL AND CULTURAL APPROACHES
Review:
What features/behaviours do humans have that make us different to other species?
What does language enable us to do?
Do other animals have the same components of language as humans?
Language in humans
What did human language evolve from?
Culture

- ‘That complex whole, which includes knowledge, belief, art, law, morals, customs and any other capabilities and habits acquired by man as a member of society.’
  
  E.B. Taylor 1871

- Culture is
  - Range of behaviour that are learned from others.
  - Passed on from generation by generation.
  - Learned not genetic.
Technology

- Technology/tools allow us to perform a task we can’t do naturally.
- The ability to plan how to make and use a tool is a complex mental process.
- The use of tools led to many of the changes that we associate with human culture and modern technology.
  - Manipulate our environment
  - Build permanent houses
  - Allows specialised roles
  - Led to complex organised societies
The first tools

- Were probably bio-degradable.
- The first tools in the archaeological record were made of stone.
- We group tools by how they were made and when. These two below are examples of the Oldowan and Acheulean industries.
Tools in time

Egyptian Pyramids: 4,500 years ago
First Farming: 12,000 years ago
First art sculptures: 40,000 years ago
First sewing needle: 50,000 years ago
First *Homo sapiens*: 315,000 years ago
First Acheulean Tools: 1,700,000 years ago
First Oldowan Tools: 2,600,000 years ago
First *Homo* species: 2,800,000 years ago
First partly-bipedal apes: 7,000,000 years ago
Ape common ancestor: 23,000,000 years ago
Primate common ancestor: 65,000,000 years ago
Technology and Culture
Technology and Culture

Figure 1. Female Leah Using a Walking Stick while Crossing Bipedally through an Elephant Pool at Mbeli Bai.

Female Leah first looked at the new elephant pool and the branch she later used as the walking stick, and entered the water without the tool (not shown). After re-entering the pool and taking the branch with her right hand, she walked bipedally 6–10 m into the water, frequently testing water deepness.
A chimpanzee ‘necklace’

A chimpanzee ‘necklace’. This thin strip of fur and skin comes from a red colobus monkey in Tanzania. In 1996, a wild adult female chimpanzee named Ako was seen wearing it around her neck, with the skin tied in a knot. It is not known if the knot was tied accidentally by the chimpanzee, but this is the only recorded example of a possible chimpanzee ‘necklace’.

Collected and provided by William McGrew.
Some monkeys in Panama may have just stumbled into the Stone Age

One group of capuchins uses stone tools, but neighbouring groups do not – suggesting primates - including us - might enter the Stone Age simply by chance.